| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Bingham McCutchen LLP WILLIAM F. ABRAMS (CA SBN 88805) MIT WINTER (CA SBN 238515) 1900 University Avenue East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2223 Telephone: 650.849.4400 william.abrams@bingham.com Attorneys for Plaintiff The Board of Trustees of The Leland Stanford Junio University UNITED STATES DISTAILED NORTHERN DISTRICT | STRICT COURT |
|--|--|--|
| 10 | | |
| 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | The Board of Trustees of The Leland Stanford Junior University, Plaintiff, v. Stanford Financial Group Company and Stanford Group Company, Defendants. | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING HEARING TO SHOW CAUSE, CONTINUING HEARING DATES ON PENDING MOTIONS, AND EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT STANFORD GROUP COMPANY'S COUNTERCLAIMS Hearing to Show Cause: Existing Date: August 28, 2009 Stipulated Date: September 25, 2009 Time: 10:00 a.m. Ctrm: 8 Pending Motions: Existing Date: September 25, 2009 Stipulated Date: October 23, 2009 Response to Counterclaims: Existing Date: September 11, 2009 Stipulated Date: October 9, 2009 Hon. Charles R. Breyer |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | Case No. 3:08-cv-04950 CRB | |

| ı | Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-7(a) and the Court's Standing Order, an parties to this action, by |
|----|---|
| 2 | and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows: |
| 3 | On March 25, 2009, the Court entered an Order to Show Cause requiring the Receiver |
| 4 | appointed by the Northern District of Texas to exercise control and possession of the |
| 5 | Defendants' assets (the "Receiver") to appear before the Court on April 24, 2009 to explain its |
| 6 | contention that further proceedings in this action are barred and why this action should not |
| 7 | proceed. Document No. 103. In addition, the Court directed the Receiver and the Plaintiff |
| 8 | Stanford University ("Stanford") to file a Joint Case Management Conference Statement on or |
| 9 | before April 17, 2009. On April 17, 2009, the Court entered an order granting the parties' |
| 10 | request to continue the hearing to show cause from April 24 to May 29 and directing the parties |
| 11 | to file the Joint Case Management Conference Statement on or before May 22, 2009. Document |
| 12 | No. 106. Thereafter, upon the parties' request, orders were entered by the Court on May 22, |
| 13 | 2009, June 18, 2009, and July 17, 2009 which continued the hearing to show cause to August 28, |
| 14 | 2009 and directed the parties to file the Joint Case Management Conference Statement on or |
| 15 | before August 21, 2009. Documents No. 110, 112, and 114. |
| 16 | Since the Court entered the May 22, 2009, June 18, 2009, and July 17, 2009 orders |
| 17 | continuing the hearing to show cause, the parties continued to exchange draft documentation |
| 18 | regarding the resolution. The parties now believe that they are close to completing the settlement |
| 19 | process, but need a brief additional amount of time to review and finalize the agreement. While |
| 20 | the parties have worked diligently to reach a resolution and finalize a settlement agreement, it is |
| 21 | unlikely that this process can be completed before the August 21, 2009 filing deadline for the |
| 22 | Joint Case Management Conference Statement or the August 28, 2009 date for the hearing to |
| 23 | show cause. |
| 24 | To provide the parties time to finish the settlement process and to finalize the settlement |
| 25 | documentation, Stanford and the Receiver wish to continue the date of the hearing to show cause |
| 26 | to September 25, 2009 and to continue the filing date for the Joint Case Management Conference |
| 27 | Statement to September 18, 2009. Additionally, Stanford and the Receiver wish to reset the |
| 28 | hearing dates for the Defendants' five pending motions, which are all currently set for September |

```
25, 2009, to October 23, 2009, with opposition and reply dates to run from the later, re-scheduled
1
     date pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-3, to extend the time for Stanford to respond to Defendant Stanford
2
     Group Company's counterclaims from September 11, 2009 to October 9, 2009, and to extend the
3
     time for the Defendants to respond to pending discovery requests from September 4, 2009 to
4
5
     October 2, 2009.
6
            NOW THEREFORE, IT IS SO STIPULATED between the parties that there is good
7
     cause for the Court to enter an order resetting the date for the Hearing to Show Cause, which is
8
     currently set for August 28, 2009, to September 25, 2009.
9
            IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED between the parties that there is good cause to enter an
10
     order resetting the filing date for the Joint Case Management Conference Statement, which is
11
     currently set for August 21, 2009, to September 18, 2009.
12
            IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED between the parties that there is good cause for the
13
     Court to enter an order to reset the hearing dates for Stanford Financial Group Company's
14
15
     Motion to Dismiss in Part for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction (Doc. No. 57), Stanford Group
     Company's Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint For Failure to State a Claim on
16
17
     Which Relief Can be Granted (Doc. No. 62), Stanford Financial Group Company's Motion for
     Judgment on the Pleadings and to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint (Doc. No. 66), Stanford
18
     Financial Group Company's Motion to Dismiss a Portion of the First Amended Complaint for
19
20
     Lack of Subject-Matter Jurisdiction (Doc. No. 74), and Stanford Financial Group Company's
     Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 80), which are all currently set for September
21
     25, 2009, to October 23, 2009, with opposition and reply dates to run from the later, re-scheduled
22
23
      date pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-3.
             IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED between the parties that there is good cause for the
24
      Court to enter an order extending the time for Stanford to respond to Stanford Group Company's
25
26
      counterclaims from September 11, 2009 to October 9, 2009.
             //
27
28
             //
      Case No. 3:08-cv-04950 CRB
                                                    3
```

| 1 | IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that the time for the Defendants to respond to pending | | |
|----|---|-----------------------------|--|
| 2 | discovery requests is extended from September 4, 2009 to October 2, 2009. | | |
| 3 | DATED: August 20, 2009 | | |
| 5 | 5 Bingham McCutc | hen LLP | |
| 6 | 6 | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | 8 By: /s/ William F William F. Ab | | |
| 9 | 9 Bingham McG | Cutchen LLP | |
| | Stanford Junio | Trustees of The Leland | |
| | | | |
| 12 | Difficult 114gust 20, 2009 | | |
| 13 | Thompson & Kni | ight LLP | |
| 14 | 14 | | |
| 15 | 15 | | |
| 16 | By: /s/ Jessica B. Jessica B. Ma | | |
| 17 | Thompson & | | |
| 18 | 18 Attorneys for | the Receiver for Defendants | |
| 19 | 19 Stanford Fina Stanford Gro | incial Group Company and | |
| 20 | 20 Stamord Grou | ир Сотрану | |
| 21 | 21 | | |
| 22 | 22 | | |
| 23 | 23 | | |
| 24 | 24 | | |
| 25 | 25 | | |
| 26 | 26 | | |
| 27 | 27 | | |
| 28 | 28 Case No. 3:08-cv-04950 CRR | | |
| | L 8SP IND 310X-CV-04950 U.K.B. /I | | |

| 1 | NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Hearing to Show | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | Cause, currently set for August 28, 2009, is re-scheduled for hearing at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom | | |
| 3 | 8 on September 25, 2009. | | |
| 4 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT a Joint Case Management Conference Statement, | | |
| 5 | currently due to be filed on or before August 21, 2009, shall be filed on or before September 18, | | |
| 6 | 2009. | | |
| 7 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Stanford Financial Group Company's Motion to | | |
| 8 | Dismiss in Part for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction (Doc. No. 57), Stanford Group Company's | | |
| 9 | Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint For Failure to State a Claim on Which Relief | | |
| 10 | Can be Granted (Doc. No. 62), Stanford Financial Group Company's Motion for Judgment on the | | |
| 11 | Pleadings and to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint (Doc. No. 66), Stanford Financial Group | | |
| 12 | Company's Motion to Dismiss a Portion of the First Amended Complaint for Lack of Subject- | | |
| 13 | Matter Jurisdiction (Doc. No. 74), and Stanford Financial Group Company's Motion for Partial | | |
| 14 | Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 80), set for hearing on September 25, 2009, are re-scheduled for | | |
| 15 | hearing at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 8 on October 23, 2009, with opposition and reply deadlines | | |
| 16 | to be based on the re-scheduled date. | | |
| 17 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the time for Stanford to respond to Defendant | | |
| 18 | Stanford Group Company's counterclaims is extended from September 11, 2009 to October 9, | | |
| 19 | 2009. | | |
| 20 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time for the Defendants to respond to pending | | |
| 21 | discovery requests is extended from September 4, 2009 to October 2, 2009. | | |
| 22 | ES DISTRICE | | |
| 23 | STATE | | |
| 24 | DEPED E | | |
| 25 | DATED: August 21, 2009 The Force | | |
| 26 | The hoton | | |
| 27 | Judge Charles R. Breyer | | |
| 28 | Case No. 3:08-cv-04950 CRB 5 | | |
| | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING PLATINGTO SHOW CAUSE | | |